

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,710	01/24/2001	Harry C. Morris	DMBC-0003	2728	
26259	7590 12/04/2002				
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN STREET			ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J		
MARLTON,	NJ 08053		Zilvinerovan, johin j		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	)	
				/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	Application No.		plicant(s)					
		09/768	3,710		MORRIS, HARRY C.					
		Exami	ner		Art Unit					
			Zimmerm		1775					
The I Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover	she t with the co	rrespond nce ad	dress				
•	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F	OR REPLY IS SET	TO EXE	PIRE 3 MONTH(S	) FROM					
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M  - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply recei	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN ime may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this community reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum significant within the set or extended period for reply yed by the Office later than three months learn adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, howe statutory min d will expire s application to	ver, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.				
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>20 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This action	is non-fi	nal.						
close	e this application is in conditio					e merits is				
Disposition of (		n = 0								
•	(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the		:-	nėi a m						
	the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	considera	ation.						
<u> </u>	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
<u> </u>										
	(s) is/are objected to.	ation and/or alactic		mont						
8)∐ Claim( Application Pa	(s) are subject to restricters	ction and/or election	n requirei	nent.						
	ecification is objected to by th	e Examiner								
· · · · · ·	awing(s) filed on is/are:		□ objecte	ed to by the Exam	iner.					
•	cant may not request that any ob		-	-						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	posed drawing correction file					er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) <b>☐</b> The oat	th or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.								
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).					
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
1.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een rece	ived.						
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een rece	ived in Applicatio	n No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•		application)				
	ledgment is made of a claim t ne translation of the foreign la					арріісаці(1).				
`	ledgment is made of a claim									
Attachment(s)										
2) 🔲 Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		4)	Interview Summary ( Notice of Informal Pa Other: .						

Application/Control Number: 09/768,710 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

# SECOND OFFICE ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the <u>Reply Under 37 CFR 1.111</u> received September 20, 2002.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese publication 4-12853. Japanese publication 4-12853 discloses a doctor blade for squeezing ink on a printing plate comprising a steel blade covered with a hard chromium plating layer (e.g. see abstract and Figure 1).
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese publication 63-25038. Japanese publication 63-25038 discloses a doctor blade adapted to an ink

Art Unit: 1775

supply apparatus comprising a steel blade covered with a hard chromium plating layer (e.g. see abstract and Figure 1).

- 5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese publication 3-64595. Japanese publication 3-64595 discloses a blade for printing coating paper comprising a steel blade covered with a chromium electroplated layer (e.g. see abstract and Figures 1-5).
- 6. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese publication 06-257095. Japanese publication 06-257095 discloses a doctor blade for a paper machine comprising a steel blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see Figure 8).
- 7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,313,830). Lundbye discloses a doctor blade for printing comprising a steel blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see page 2, left column, lines 1-34).
- 8. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,361,554). Lundbye discloses a doctor blade for printing comprising a steel blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see claims 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,710 Page 4

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlsen (U.S.

Art Unit: 1775

12.

Patent 2,404,689). Carlsen discloses a doctor blade for printing comprising a steel blade covered

with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see the claim).

9. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stalmuke (U.S.

Patent 3,230,928). Stalmuke discloses a blade coater for the paper industry comprising a steel

blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see Figure 2 and column 2, lines 25-41).

10. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindblad (U.S.

Patent 4,970,560). Lindblad discloses a cleaning blade for electrophotographic processes

comprising a steel blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see Figure 2 and claims 6

and 10).

11. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nomura (U.S.

Patent 6,059,881). Nomura discloses a coater blade for coating processes comprising a steel

blade covered with a chromium plating layer (e.g. see Figures 2, 3 and Table 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese publication 4-12853, Japanese publication 63-25038, Japanese publication 3-64595, Japanese publication 06-257095, Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,313,830), Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,361,554), Carlsen (U.S. Patent 2,404,689), Stalmuke (U.S. Patent 3,230,928), Lindblad (U.S. Patent 4,970,560) or Nomura (U.S. Patent 6,059,881), each in view of Mahoney (U.S. Patent 3,810,588).

Japanese publication 4-12853, Japanese publication 63-25038, Japanese publication 3-64595, Japanese publication 06-257095, Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,313,830), Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,361,554), Carlsen (U.S. Patent 2,404,689), Stalmuke (U.S. Patent 3,230,928), Lindblad (U.S. Patent 4,970,560) and Nomura (U.S. Patent 6,059,881) are all described above. These references differ from the claims in that they may not disclose that their blades are coiled. Mahoney, however, clearly shows that it is well recognized in industry that doctor blade stock is more economical if supplied in coiled form (e.g. see column 1, lines 5-60). In view of Mahoney, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supply the coated blades of Japanese publication 4-12853, Japanese publication 63-25038, Japanese publication 3-64595, Japanese publication 06-257095, Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,313,830), Lundbye (U.S. Patent 2,361,554), Carlsen (U.S. Patent 2,404,689), Stalmuke (U.S. Patent 3,230,928), Lindblad (U.S. Patent 4,970,560) and Nomura (U.S. Patent 6,059,881) in coiled form because Mahoney shows that this form of blade stock is more economical.

Art Unit: 1775

#### Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection. The new ground of rejection addresses the newly added limitation in these claims that the "substrate base is coiled". In addition, several other references have been cited to clearly show that industry conventionally supplies doctor blade stock in coiled form.
- 16. Regarding claims 8-10, applicant does not appear to have addressed any of the rejections from the previous Office Action applied to these claims. No amendments have been made to claims 8-10 and no arguments have been found directly addressing the patentability of these specific claims over the applied references. Applicant will be held to be non-responsive if all the pending rejections are not fully addressed in future correspondences.

#### Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment requiring a coil form has necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

y-•

Art Unit: 1775

Page 7

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John J. Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703) 308-2512.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm, M-F. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

olin J. Žimmerman

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775